## **CHAPTER V**

## **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

This chapter has two main parts which are conclusion and suggestion. The conclusion section contains the discussion of the results about the study's goals. In the meantime, the suggestions section covers several suggestions for readers and other researchers who might aim to pursue a similar study project and get better results.

## 5.1. Conclusion

The study has been conducted in order to examine the transitivity process in Jokowi and Joe Biden's speech and to analyze the similarities and differences in both speeches. This study examines the linguistic characteristics of these speeches by using the transitivity system of Halliday's Systemic Functional Linguistic theory. The six categories of transitivity processes given by Halliday are existential, behavioral, mental, relational, material, and verbal processes.

This study found 67 major clauses in Jokowi's speech and 244 major clauses in Joe Biden's speech. Then the clauses were identified into six transitivity processes, in Jokowi's speech only 5 of the 6 transitivity processes were found, namely material, mental, relational, verbal, and existential processes. The percentages are, material process 46.3% with 31 clauses, mental process 16.4% with 11 clauses, verbal process 4.5% with only 3 clauses, relational process 28.3% with 19 clauses, and finally existential process 4.5% with 3 clauses. Meanwhile, in Joe Biden's speech, material processes were found 58.2% with 142 clauses, mental processes 6.1% with 15 clauses, verbal processes 11.5% with 28 clauses, behavioral processes 2.1% with 5 clauses, relational processes 20.9% with 51 clauses, and existential processes 1.2% with only 3 clauses.

The result can be interpreted that both of Jokowi and Joe Biden used material process as the dominant process in their speech because The goal of politics is to gain authority to implement certain political, economic, and social concepts. Here, language plays a major role in how each politician uses discourse to advance their political agenda through speech.

## 5.2. Suggestion

This study would like to give some suggestions for those involved in this study for the betterment in the future. This research can be useful for readers who are interested in learning more about systemic functional linguistics especially in transitivity system. By reading this research, readers will gain more knowledge about systemic functional linguistics theory and it is possible that they will have a different point of view because this theory can help readers in analyzing texts to learn more about functional linguistics because it can help readers in deciphering and implying the meaning of texts especially in speech. For other researchers, it is intended that this study on transitivity process will help researchers by offering helpful information, guidance and knowledge to others who want to carry out similar research on transitivity system and systemic functional linguistics-related subjects, especially in speech.

This study still has limitations because it only discussed experiential meaning, although this study focuses on how the transitivity system is realized in the speeches of two politicians. Therefore, the other three meta functions of textual meaning, interpersonal meaning, and experiential meaning in spoken and written language are also recommended for further research by future scholars. Comparing meta functions in this way will be helpful as it will help future scholars to focus on the various meanings that may be related to each other.